UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/607,374	06/30/2000	Anthony Chavez	14917.0245USU1/MS140744	0 8223
27488 7590 01/12/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT) P.O. BOX 2903			EXAMINER BASOM, BLAINE T	
	2173			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/607,374	CHAVEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blaine Basom	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc	ctober 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 40-59 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-59</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	, 					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
•••						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	diffiller. Note the attached Office	. 7,000,011 01 1011111 10 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	Love been made and					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	eu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	r асенt друновногі				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed under 37 CFR §1.53(d) for the instant application on October 10, 2006. The Applicants have properly set forth the RCE, which has been entered into the application, and an examination on the merits follows herewith.

Response to Arguments

The Examiner acknowledges the Applicants' amendments to independent claims 40 and 50. Regarding claim 40, and the claims dependent thereon, the Applicants submit that neither Hickman (U.S. Patent No. 5,361,361 to Hickman et al.) nor Banning (U.S. Patent No. 6,380,957 to Banning), cited in the previous Office Action, teaches "the unified taxonomy structure being common to and inclusive of the help topics provided by the different vendors and a first level of categories and a second level of categories in the unified taxonomy structure being predefined, static, and used by all the different vendors of software and hardware components installed on the computer," as is recited in amended claim 40. In response, the Examiner respectfully presents the Irwin document ("Managing On-Line Help in a Networked Multi-Platform Environment" by Irwin et al.), which as shown below, teaches such a unified taxonomy structure. The Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 40 and its dependents have thus been considered, but are moot in view of the following new grounds of rejection.

Regarding claim 50, and the claims dependent thereon, the Applicants submit that

Hickman and Banning fail to teach "the mapping data stored in a mapping data file including an action field configurable to include data to indicate whether the contents or mapping of the topics

Art Unit: 2173

are to be one of the following: added, removed, and updated," as is recited in amended claim 50. In response, the Examiner respectfully presents the Portable Document Format Reference Manual, which as shown below, teaches such an action field. Accordingly, the Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 50 and its dependents have been considered, but are moot in view of the following new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 40 and 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,361,361, which is attributed to Hickman et al. (and hereafter referred to as "Hickman"), and also over the article entitled "Managing On-Line Help in a Networked Multi-Platform Environment," which is authored by Irwin et al. (and hereafter referred to as "Irwin"). In general, Hickman discloses a method for providing concurrent access to hierarchical help provided by multiple independent applications (see column 1, lines 24-53). Such hierarchical help is specifically organized into categories, topics, and sub-topics (see column 2, lines 11-14). As applications generally involve a plurality of hardware components of a computer system, such as the memory and input devices, it is interpreted that the help information for the application programs includes help topics relating to not only software components of the applications, but also hardware components required by the applications. For example,

Art Unit: 2173

installation of an application involves the memory of the computer system, and various input commands to the application similarly involve an input component, like a mouse or keyboard. Moreover, Hickman discloses that such hierarchical help is implemented on a computer, via a computer-readable medium (see column 2, line 60 – column 3, line 40; and column 7, lines 14-19). Hickman thus presents a computer-readable medium having computer-executable components for execution on a computer for presenting a plurality of help topics for software and hardware components installed on the computer.

Specifically regarding claim 40, Hickman discloses that the computer system implementing the above-described help system comprises multiple independent applications, each application having a set of help files (see column 4, lines 20-29). It is understood that the applications are stored in the memory of the computer, as is known in the art. Consequently, such a computer memory storing the applications, and thus the help files of the applications, is considered a help content store for storing help contents for a plurality of help topics, the help content store having a plurality of separate vendor folders, i.e. files, which correspond to different vendors of software and hardware components installed on the computer, each vendor folder containing help contents of respective help topics provided by a corresponding vendor. In addition, Hickman further discloses that each application also comprises a help file directory, which is used to map the help topics associated with the help files into a "hierarchical and integrated listing of help file topics from multiple applications" (see column 4, lines 30-51). This hierarchical and integrated listing is considered a "unified taxonomy structure," like that of the present invention, as it is common to and inclusive of the help topics provided by the different vendors and used by the different vendors (for example, see figure 5, and its associated

Art Unit: 2173

description in column 5, line 49 – column 6, line 66). As the levels of topics within the hierarchical and integrated listing are defined by the help file directories of the various applications (see column 4, lines 30-51), each of the levels of categories within the hierarchical and integrated listing, including the first level, is predefined. The help file directories particularly include data for identifying the position of each topic or sub-topic within the hierarchical and integrated listing, and also, data for identifying the location of the help content associated with each help topic, the help content being stored in the help files described above (see column 4, line 52 – column 5, line 27). This conglomeration of help file directories associated with the applications stored on the computer system thus provides a help database, like that of the claimed invention, comprising mapping data for mapping help topics into a unified taxonomy structure being common to and inclusive of the help topics provided by the different vendors, whereby a first and second level of categories in the unified taxonomy structure is predefined and used by all the different vendors of hardware and software installed on the computer (each application has help topics presented on the first level), and whereby the mapping data includes data for each help topic for identifying a node position of each help topic in the taxonomy structure and a location of corresponding help content in a help content store. Furthermore, Hickman discloses that a help utility may automatically recognize the installation of new applications and include help information topics from the newly installed applications into the above-described hierarchical outline structure (see column 2, lines 19-25). Such a help utility thus comprises a help content update module for updating help contents received in the content store and the mapping data in the help database based on update packets, i.e. applications or new versions of applications, which are received from vendors. Lastly, Hickman discloses

Art Unit: 2173

that the above-described help utility is also used to display the hierarchical outline structure of help topics to a user (see column 6, lines 4-36), and also, is used to retrieve and display help content associated with each help topic in response to user-selection of the help topic displayed in the outline structure (see column 6, lines 37-66). This help utility is consequently considered a help application like that of the claimed invention, which is for providing a user interface for presenting help topics to a user, and which is programmed to interactively display a unified taxonomy structure using mapping data in a help database and help contents stored in a content store, including displaying help categories and help topics in the unified taxonomy structure in response to user selections, retrieving help contents of a user-selected help topic, and displaying the help content of the user-selected help topic. Accordingly, Hickman presents a computerreadable medium like that of claim 40, which is for presenting a unified taxonomy structure having a predefined first and second level of categories. However, as demonstrated by figures 4A, 4B, and 5, the help topics presented within the unified taxonomy structure are organized according to the application to which they are associated. That is, the help topics for each application are presented together, with the first level of help topics within the unified taxonomy structure comprising the major help topics of each application. Consequently, the first and second levels of categories within the unified taxonomy structure of Hickman are not static, as required by claim 40, since adding or removing an application (and its help files) would result in the addition or removal of help topics from the first level and second levels.

Nevertheless, organizing application help files in a taxonomy structure, with a predefined and static first and second levels of categories, is well-known in the art. For example, Irwin presents such a taxonomy structure (referred to as a "help tree"), which categorizes the help

Art Unit: 2173

content for software existing on one or more UNIX-based computer systems (see e.g. "THE NEW HELP TREE" on page 158, and Figure 1 on pages 159-160). As demonstrated by Irwin, the first and second level of categories in this structure are predefined, static, and used by all the different vendors of software and hardware components installed on each computer (see e.g. "THE NEW HELP TREE" on page 158, and Figure 1 on pages 159-160).

As described above, the help topics presented within the unified taxonomy structure of Hickman are organized according to the application to which they are associated. Having a large amount of applications would result in a large first level of help topics. It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Hickman and Irwin before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the unified taxonomy structure taught by Hickman to include additional levels, including a static first and second levels, to organize the plurality application help topics, as done by Irwin and described above. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill to utilize such a combination because the application files, being organized hierarchically, would be easier to find, as is demonstrated by Irwin.

Accordingly, Hickman and Irwin teach a computer-readable medium like that of claim 40.

Concerning claim 45, Hickman discloses that that a help utility may automatically recognize the installation of new applications, and as described above, include help information topics from the newly installed applications into the above-described hierarchical and integrated listing by updating the help directories. As described above, such a help utility is considered a help content update module, like that of the claimed invention. It is understood that a user may similarly remove applications, as is known in the art. Since the help directories specify the help topics for applications *installed* on the computer system, it is interpreted that removing an

Art Unit: 2173

application would remove a directory for that application. Consequently, the help database, which as described above is the conglomeration of such directories, would be updated. Thus it is understood that the help content update module of Hickman is programmed to add, move, and remove help topics from the hierarchical and integrated listing by updating the mapping data in the help database.

With respect to claim 46, Hickman discloses that a user may perform a search for a particular help topic or set of help topics (see column 6, line 67 – column 7, line 13). In particular, the above-described help directories are searched to find topics that match user-specified search criteria (see column 8, lines 26-43). The help directories, which as described above are considered a help database, thus comprise data specifying a search keyword associated with each help topic, the search keyword being the name of the help topic.

As per claims 47-48, Hickman discloses that the above-described help file directories, which are considered a help database, comprise a topic descriptor field (see column 4, lines 61-65). This descriptor field contains an alphanumeric string that specifies the help file content for a particular topic or sub-topic within help files, and which is capable of being displayed to the user (see column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 2). In other words, it is interpreted that this descriptor field comprises the name of each topic or sub-topic. Consequently, this descriptor field is used to specify an index string, i.e. name, associated with each help topic. Hickman further discloses that a menu selection button may be selected in order to display the hierarchical and integrated listing of topic and sub-topic names (see column 5, line 49 – column 6, line 36). Thus the user interface provided by the help application of Hickman includes an interface element presenting an option to view index strings of help topics.

Art Unit: 2173

Claims 44 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hickman and Irwin, which is described above, and also over U.S. Patent No. 6.236.989, which is attributed to Mandyam et al. (and hereafter referred to as "Mandyam"). As described above. Hickman and Irwin present a computer-readable medium like that of claim 40. In particular, Hickman discloses a help file directory, which as described above, contains mapping data for mapping help topics into a unified taxonomy structure of help categories and help topics. It is interpreted that the structure of the directory implicitly denotes the parent node of each help topic in the taxonomy structure. For example, referring to the directories of figures 4A and 4B and the associated hierarchical structure of figure 5, the topics and sub-topics in the hierarchical structure are displayed in the same order as listed in the directories. Consequently in the directories of Hickman, the parent of a sub-topic is specified by the first topic preceding the sub-topic. In other words, the mapping data for each topic implicitly includes a parent ID identifying a parent node of the topic in the unified taxonomy structure. Continuing on, Hickman further discloses that the help file directory includes a file identifier field, which defines the location of the help file corresponding to each help topic (see column 5, lines 2-6). Neither Hickman nor Irwin, however, explicitly specify that this file identifier field comprises a URL, as expressed in claim 44, or that the help contents in the help files are written in a mark-up language, as is specified in each of claim 49.

Like Hickman, Mandyam discloses a method for providing help information for a software application residing on a computer. More specifically, and regarding the claimed invention, Mandyam discloses that the help information may be migrated to HTML and stored

Art Unit: 2173

on a web server, from which it may be accessed by specifying a URL associated with the content (see column 6, lines 24-34, and column 2, lines 44-50).

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Hickman and Irwin such that the help files are accessed from a web server, as is done by Mandyam. In other words, it would have been obvious to modify Hickman such that the file identifier field comprises a URL which specifies the location of the help contents associated with each help topic, the help contents being written in HTML, as is taught by Mandyam. One would have been motivated to create such a combination because storing help files on a web server consumes less space on the user's computer, as is taught by Mandyam (see column 6, lines 24-29).

Claims 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hickman and Irwin, which is described above, and also over U.S. Patent No. 5,825,356, which is attributed to Habib et al. (and hereafter referred to as "Habib"). As shown above, Hickman and Irwin teach a computer-readable medium, like that recited in claim 40, which is for providing help information. Neither Hickman nor Irwin, however, teaches that such help information includes a script library for storing a plurality of script library objects used by the help contents stored in the help content store, as is expressed in claim 41.

Like Hickman, Habib presents a method for providing help information to a user, wherein this help information is organized into various topics and is presented on the user's computer (see column 3, lines 44-51). Habib additionally discloses that the presentation of help information includes displaying a "do-it-all" button, which when selected, causes the computer

Art Unit: 2173

to execute a script in order to complete a task regarding a selected help topic (see column 1, lines 57-60, and column 4, line 57 – column 6, line 3). Such scripts are particularly maintained in a script library referred to as a "catalog file" (see column 13, lines 41-67). Consequently, like recited in claim 41, Habib presents a script library for storing a plurality of script library objects used by the help contents.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Hickman, Irwin, and Habib before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the help system taught by Hickman and Irwin such it includes buttons with similar functionality to the "do-it-all" buttons described above and by Habib. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill to utilize such a combination because "do-it-all" buttons provide a faster means of fixing a problem than that of manually fixing the problem, as is expressed by Habib (see column 4, lines 15-19).

Regarding claims 42 and 43, since particular sets of scripts are associated with specific help contents, as is expressed above, it is apparent that with the above-described combination of Hickman, Irwin, and Habib, there exists some sort of store which is checked to identify which scripts to execute for particular help content. Habib particularly discloses that, for the help content to access a script, the help content must know the name of the script (see column 13, lines 41-65, particularly lines 49-52). Consequently, each help topic is considered to necessarily comprise storage for storing information, specifically the names of required scripts, which identifies that the help content associated with the topic is authorized to access such scripts. The help application checks these script names to determine what scripts the help content is allowed

Art Unit: 2173

to access. Such storage storing these script names is therefore considered an "authorization store," like that described in claims 42 and 43.

Claims 50 and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hickman and Irwin, which is described above, and also over the Portable Document Format (PDF), as described by the "Portable Document Format Reference Manual, Version 1.2". As described above in the rejection for claim 40, Hickman discloses a computer comprising: a plurality of software and hardware components installed on the computer; a help content store for storing help contents for help topics for software and hardware components installed on the computer, the help content store having a plurality of separate vendor folders corresponding to different vendors of the software and hardware components installed on the computer, each vendor folder containing help contents of respective help topics provided by a corresponding vendor; a help database containing mapping data for mapping the help topics from the different vendors into a unified taxonomy structure of help categories and help topics, the unified taxonomy structure being common to and inclusive of the help topics provided by the different vendors, and used by all the different vendors of software and hardware components installed on the computer, the mapping data including data for each help topic for identifying a node position of the help topic in the unified taxonomy structure and a location of corresponding help content of the help topic in the help content store; a help content update module for updating help contents in the content store and the mapping data in the help database based on update packets received from the vendors; and a help application for providing a user interface

Art Unit: 2173

for presenting the help topics to a user, the help application being programmed to interactively display the unified taxonomy structure using mapping data in the help database and help contents in the content store, including displaying help categories and help topics in the unified taxonomy structure in response to user selections, retrieving help contents of a user selected help topic and displaying the help content of the user-selected help topic, as is required by claim 50. Irwin further teaches using a unified taxonomy structure comprising a first level of categories that are predefined and static, as is described above. Accordingly, Hickman and Irwin teach a computer similar to the computer of claim 50. Neither Hickman nor Irwin, however, explicitly teaches implementing a mapping data file including an action field configurable to include data to indicate whether the contents or mapping of the topics are to be added, removed, or updated, as is required by claim 50. Nevertheless, adding such an action field to a file to indicate that portions of the file are updated is well-known in the art.

For example, the Portable Document Format generally describes an "incremental update," in which a file is updated without rewriting the entire file (see e.g. section 5.6 on pages 70-72). Such an incremental update entail appending a cross-reference section to the end of the file to indicate contents of the file that are to be added, removed, or updated (see e.g. section 5.6 on pages 70-72).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Hickman, Irwin, and the PDF Reference Manual before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the help application taught by Hickman and Irwin such that it is updated via incremental update, like described in the PDF Reference Manual. That is, it would have been obvious to modify the mapping data of Hickman and Irwin to include an action field

Art Unit: 2173

(i.e. a cross-reference section) that indicates contents or topics that are added, removed, or updated, when updating the help contents or taxonomy structure. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill to utilize this combination because such an incremental update allows the files to be updated, but without rewriting the entire files, as is taught by the PDF Reference Manual. Hickman, Irwin, and the PDF Reference Manual thus teach a computer like that of claim 50.

Concerning claim 55, Hickman discloses that that a help utility may automatically recognize the installation of new applications, and as described in the previous paragraph, include help information topics from the newly installed applications into the above-described hierarchical and integrated listing by updating the help directories. As described above, such a help utility is considered a help content update module, like that of the claimed invention. It is understood that a user may similarly remove applications, as is known in the art. Since the help directories specify the help topics for applications *installed* on the computer system, it is interpreted that removing an application would remove a directory for that application.

Consequently, the help database, which as described above is the conglomeration of such directories, would be updated. Thus it is understood that the help content update module of Hickman is programmed to add, move, and remove help topics from the hierarchical and integrated listing by updating the mapping data in the help database.

With respect to claim 56, Hickman discloses that a user may perform a search for a particular help topic or set of help topics (see column 6, line 67 – column 7, line 13). In particular, the above-described help directories are searched to find topics that match user-specified search criteria (see column 8, lines 26-43). The help directories, which as described

Art Unit: 2173

above are considered a help database, thus comprise data specifying a search keyword associated with each help topic, the search keyword being the name of the help topic.

As per claims 57-58, Hickman discloses that the above-described help file directories, which are considered a help database, comprise a topic descriptor field (see column 4, lines 61-65). This descriptor field contains an alphanumeric string that specifies the help file content for a particular topic or sub-topic within help files, and which is capable of being displayed to the user (see column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 2). In other words, it is interpreted that this descriptor field comprises the name of each topic or sub-topic. Consequently, this descriptor field is used to specify an index string, i.e. name, associated with each help topic. Hickman further discloses that a menu selection button may be selected in order to display the hierarchical and integrated listing of topic and sub-topic names (see column 5, line 49 – column 6, line 36). Thus the user interface provided by the help application of Hickman includes an interface element presenting an option to view index strings of help topics.

Claims 54 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hickman, Irwin, and the Portable Document Format (PDF), which is described above, and also over U.S. Patent No. 6,236,989, which is attributed to Mandyam et al. (and hereafter referred to as "Mandyam"). As described above, Hickman, Irwin, and PDF teach a computer like that of claim 50. In particular, Hickman describes a help file directory, which as described above, contains mapping data for mapping help topics into a unified taxonomy structure of help categories and help topics. It is interpreted that the structure of the directory implicitly denotes the parent node of each help topic in the taxonomy structure. For example,

Art Unit: 2173

referring to the directories of figures 4A and 4B and the associated hierarchical structure of figure 5, the topics and sub-topics in the hierarchical structure are displayed in the same order as listed in the directories. Consequently in the directories of Hickman, the parent of a sub-topic is specified by the first topic preceding the sub-topic. In other words, the mapping data for each topic implicitly includes a parent ID identifying a parent node of the topic in the unified taxonomy structure. Continuing on, Hickman further discloses that the help file directory includes a file identifier field, which defines the location of the help file corresponding to each help topic (see column 5, lines 2-6). Hickman, Irwin, and PDF, however, do not explicitly specify that this file identifier field comprises a URL, as expressed in claim 54, or that the help contents in the help files are written in a mark-up language, as is specified in claim 59.

Like Hickman, Mandyam discloses a method for providing help information for a software application residing on a computer. More specifically, and regarding the claimed invention, Mandyam discloses that the help information may be migrated to HTML and stored on a web server, from which it may be accessed by specifying a URL associated with the content (see column 6, lines 24-34, and column 2, lines 44-50).

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Hickman, Irwin, and PDF such that the help files are accessed from a web server, as is done by Mandyam. In other words, it would have been obvious to modify Hickman such that the file identifier field comprises a URL which specifies the location of the help contents associated with each help topic, the help contents being written in HTML, as is taught by Mandyam. One would have been motivated to create such a

Art Unit: 2173

combination because storing help files on a web server consumes less space on the user's computer, as is taught by Mandyam (see column 6, lines 24-29).

Claims 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Hickman, Irwin, and PDF, which is described above, and also over U.S. Patent No. 5,825,356, which is attributed to Habib et al. (and hereafter referred to as "Habib"). As shown above, Hickman, Irwin, and PDF teach a computer like that recited in claim 50, which is for providing help information. Hickman, Irwin, and PDF, however, do not teach that such help information includes a script library for storing a plurality of script library objects used by the help contents stored in the help content store, as is expressed in claim 51.

Like Hickman, Habib presents a method for providing help information to a user, wherein this help information is organized into various topics and is presented on the user's computer (see column 3, lines 44-51). Habib additionally discloses that the presentation of help information includes displaying a "do-it-all" button, which when selected, causes the computer to execute a script in order to complete a task regarding a selected help topic (see column 1, lines 57-60, and column 4, line 57 – column 6, line 3). Such scripts are particularly maintained in a script library referred to as a "catalog file" (see column 13, lines 41-67). Consequently, like recited in claim 51, Habib presents a script library for storing a plurality of script library objects used by the help contents.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Hickman, Irwin, PDF, and Habib before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the help system taught by Hickman, Irwin, and PDF such it includes buttons with similar

Art Unit: 2173

functionality to the "do-it-all" buttons described above and by Habib. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill to utilize such a combination because "do-it-all" buttons provide a faster means of fixing a problem than that of manually fixing the problem, as is expressed by Habib (see column 4, lines 15-19).

Regarding claims 52 and 53, since particular sets of scripts are associated with specific help contents, as is expressed above, it is apparent that with the above-described combination of Hickman, Irwin, PDF, and Habib, there exists some sort of store which is checked to identify which scripts to execute for particular help content. Habib particularly discloses that, for the help content to access a script, the help content must know the name of the script (see column 13, lines 41-65, particularly lines 49-52). Consequently, each help topic necessarily comprises storage for storing information, specifically the names of required scripts, which identifies that the help content associated with the topic is authorized to access such scripts. The help application checks these script names to determine what scripts the help content is allowed to access. Such storage storing these script names is therefore considered an "authorization store," like that described in claims 52 and 53.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. §1.111(C) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The Sleeter document and the Kuwamoto et al. U.S. Patent cited therein each describe a help application which provides integrated help content obtained from multiple software vendors.

Art Unit: 2173

Page 19

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blaine Basom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

btb 1/4/2006

ADESSE HAIL
Patent Examiner